

Evaluation schedule for TNA project proposals

	UNACCEPTABLE <50 POINTS	WEAK 50-60 POINTS	SATISFACTORY 60-70 POINTS	GOOD 70-80 POINTS	VERY GOOD 80-90 POINTS	EXCELLENT 90-100 POINTS
RATIONALE (40/100)	The context is not relevant and the objective is formulated insufficiently. A potential contribution to sustainable pig production is lacking. There are major shortcomings.	The context is of little relevance. The objective is very vague. The TNA candidate has a narrow view on how the proposal can contribute to sustainable pig production.	The context is sufficiently relevant and the objective is fairly clear. The contribution to sustainable pig production is rather limited.	The context is relevant and the objective is clear. A modest contribution to sustainable pig production is presented.	The context is very relevant. The objective is very well formulated. The contribution to sustainable pig production is substantial, with a clear link to the European Green Deal.	A hot topic is addressed and the objective is crystal clear. A major contribution to sustainable pig production is expected, fully embracing the European Green Deal.
SCIENTIFIC QUALITY (40/100)	The scientific question is badly formulated and not in line with the state of the art. The approach is inadequate and hard to understand. Essential information is missing.	The scientific question is not well described. The approach is traditional and very similar to previous studies.	The scientific question is well defined, but trivial and little innovative. The approach is mostly clear.	The scientific question is interesting and in line with the state of the art. The approach is clear and appropriate.	The scientific question is innovative. The approach is very clear and very appropriate.	The scientific question is intriguing and highly innovative. The approach is described excellently and highly suitable.
VALORISATION (20/100)	There is no intention to valorise the data.	The TNA candidate is willing to valorise the data, but a clear strategy is missing.	The proposal presents a very general and vague valorisation strategy.	The valorisation strategy is clear but not very convincing.	The valorization strategy is very clear and likely to be achieved.	The data will be used in an ongoing registration procedure or to empower a (preliminary) manuscript.